

15 Jan 14

PUNCH TAVERNS (PUB): 16.5p Debt Restructuring Conference Call

Punch hosts conference call to detail restructuring proposals...'

Year to end-Aug	PBT (£m)	EPS (p)	PER (x)	DPS (p)	Yield (%)
2012 (A)	52.4	7.2	2.3	Nil	Nil
2013 (A)	17.0	5.7	2.9	Nil	Nil
2014 (E)	46.0	5.4	3.1	Nil	Nil

Source: Company & Broker Estimates

Proposed Debt Restructuring:

Following the announcement of its final debt restructuring proposals, Punch Taverns hosted a conference call for analysts and our comments are as follows:

Current Trading:

- Punch updated on current trading saying that the recent improvement in trading has continued
- Core LfL EBITDA is up by 1.5% in the first 20 weeks guidance for the full year remains up 1% as summer comps will be tough
- Disposals are being made (c£25m in year to date) at slightly ahead of book. The investment in the estate, at c£100k per pub, is continuing with £45m thus far spent over 400 pubs

Restructuring Introduction:

- The securitisations are over-levered and unsustainable. Current leverage is c9x EBITDA in Punch A and c8.4x in Punch B
- Failure to agree would likely lead to appointment of a receiver. Swap losses would crystalise (c£242m) and cash in the securitisations, £123m in A and £65m in B, would be used to prepay class A notes.
- The appointment of a receiver would be 'value destructive for all stakeholders'

Basic Terms:

- Creates a 'robust & sustainable debt structure' with next target bullet maturities not until 2028 in A and 2020 in B
- The A's in both securitisations are reinstated in full with modified Spens terms

Find us at:







Recent News Here:

- Today's email
- Recent emails
- A day in the life...
- Who we are, what we
 do...
- Upcoming news-flow
- Recent company updates
- Thematic pieces
- LinkedIn profile



Market Cap: £106m 12m range 6.5p 16.5p

- Debt would fall from \$2.33bn to £1.83bn (due to redemptions at a discount) and leverage would fall from 11.0x to 8.7x
- There would be £500m less in contractual debt-servicing payments over the next five years

The Response of the ABI:

- The ABI has commented this morning saying 'the revised proposals announced by Punch today were not agreed with the Committee prior to announcement.'
- It goes on to say 'the Committee and its advisers have had limited interaction with the Company and its advisers since the previous proposal announced on 9 December 2013'
- And it adds 'the Committee's advisers did not have sight of transaction documents prior to today's announcement.'
- In the circumstances, it says 'the Committee and its advisers are reviewing today's announcement, and proposed transaction terms.'
- The ABI reminds readers that its note-holders have blocking stakes in a number of classes of Punch A and B notes, including the Class A of both transactions.
- The ABI has responded to each of the prior restructuring proposals and has dismissed them quickly. That it has not done so today may be 1) partly because the terms are nearly acceptable but also 2) because the use of the word 'final' has raised the stakes

Conclusion:

• Chairman Stephen Billingham repeated that he believes this is 'a good deal' and that there is now 'operating momentum in the business'

Langton Comment: The presentation was useful but changes little.

The management seem to mean it when they say that the proposals are final and have thus, if this is correct, put the ball in the ABI's court.

The ABI has responded but has responded less negatively than hitherto. This is perhaps not surprising given the use of the word 'final' but it is to be hoped that the body's members can find common ground.

Of course the senior bondholders, whose instruments are trading in most cases above par, could always sell in the market. They are debt holders, after all, and didn't sign up for an equity profit in the first place, rather for interest and their capital back and both are on offer either from Punch or from the bond market.

And could a junior bond holder scupper the deal? Possibly but why? There may be an attempt at greenmail but the deal is 'final' and any junior bondholder would surely only succeed in crystallising a loss.

Hence we may have a nervous few weeks ahead of us but, as mentioned on a number of occasions, there is a lot to play for and some grounds to hope at least that common sense can be made to prevail. See also our 8am note.

Contact - Mark Brumby - +44(0)20 7702 3389

mark.brumby@langtoncapital.co.uk

This message (and files contained herein) may contain confidential or proprietary information and is intended solely for the use of the individual or organisation to whom it is addressed. If you are not the addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any part thereof. Further, please notify the author immediately by telephone or by replying to this email and then delete all copies of the correspondence from your system. We apologise for any inconvenience that this may have caused. This information is a financial promotion for the purpose of the European Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID) and FSA's Rules. It has not been prepared in accordance with the legal requirements designed to promote the independence or objectivity of investment research. This document is not based upon detailed analysis by Langton Capital of any market, issuer or security named herein and does not constitute formal research or a research recommendation, either expressly or otherwise. It is not investment advice and does not take into account the investment objectives and policies, financial position or portfolio composition of any recipient. This document should not to be relied upon as authoritative or taken in substitution for the exercise of you own commercial judgment. Whilst Langton Capital has taken steps to control the spread of viruses on its systems, it cannot guarantee that this email and any files transmitted with it are virus free. No liability is accepted for any errors, omissions, interceptions, corrupted email, lost communications or late delivery arising as a result of receiving this message via the Internet or for any virus that may be contained in it. Recipients should review independently and / or obtain independent professional advice and draw their conclusions there-from should decide to undertake transactions with third parties. Langton Capital or its employees may have positions in securities mentioned herein. We reserve the right to monitor email messages passing through